CAFR Presentation Agenda

- Introductions – Aretha R. Ferrell-Benavides, City Manager
- Financial Statement Overview – Patrice Elliott, Finance Director
- Audit Overview - David Foley, Robinson, Farmer, Cox and Associates (RFCA)
- Management Findings and Responses- India Adams-Jacobs and David Foley
Executive, Finance, and Audit Team

- Aretha R. Ferrell-Benavides, City Manager
- Lionel D. Lyons, Deputy City Manager - Operations
- Darnetta Tyus, Deputy City Manager - Community Affairs
- Patrice S. Elliott, Director of Finance, joined in March 2019, comes to the City with both public and private 25+ years of accounting and auditing experience.
- Robert A. Floyd, Director of Budget and Procurement, joined in August 2017 as Assistant Finance Director, later assumed the role of Director of Budget and Procurement. Mr. Floyd was the lead individual in the amending of the FY 2018 Budget and development of the FY 2019 and FY 2020 Budgets.
- India Adams-Jacobs, Assistant to the City Manager, joined in May 2019, comes to the City with local government management experience in City Management and budgeting. Served as Audit Manager for the pre-audit and audit teams.
- David Myers, Pre-Audit Project Manager, joined in June 2019, comes to the City with over 30 years of public service finance experience, with both local government and the schools. Mr. Myers originally implemented the City’s financial system and has since served various localities in central Virginia (Petersburg, Hanover and Richmond).
- David Foley, External Auditor (Robinson, Farmer, Cox & Associates), served as City’s external auditor for FY 17/18 and FY 18/19 CAFR. RFCA has served as the City’s auditors since 2009.
NEW UNASSIGNED FUND BALANCE

$12,936,270
FUND BALANCE HISTORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fund Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$15,403,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$6,522,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$4,615,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$1,725,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$174,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>($5,011,152)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>($7,728,395)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>($143,932)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$2,803,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$8,060,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$12,936,270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unassigned Fund Balance

Graph showing the fund balance history from 2010 to 2020.
Management Findings & Responses Resolved from FY17/18 CAFR Findings
FINDING

Criteria: After the initial billing process has been completed and prior to the utility bills being finalized, sufficient edit checks should be run to minimize the amount of billing errors.

Condition: During FY19, utility bills were processed whereby material adjustments were needed to correct the initial billings.

Cause: Sufficient edit checks were not generated prior to the utility bills being finalized, which may have prevented the material errors.

Effect: This caused utility billing efforts to be delayed and bills were processed with substantial errors.

Recommendation: We recommend the City implement procedures to ensure adequate edit checks are preformed prior to finalizing the billings.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We Do Not Concur: Prior to the establishment in November 2017 of the Office of Billing and Collections the Utility Billing staff procedure was established that resulted in them executing a minimum of two edit reports prior to the mailing of customer bills. The first report was generated upon initial upload of field reading in the system. The second would occur prior to posting payments to residential accounts.

Since the transition to the new Office of Billing and Collections, staff has been able to address the root cause via a field audit of the system and verified the correct rate codes were updated in the system. In April 2018, the Technology Department corrected errors in over 130 accounts that made up the majority of the errors found. The Office of Billing and Collections has been provided BAI process to ensure that the Utility Billing Errors and Insufficient edits checks are performed prior to finalizing the billing. Reference BAI Document UT6015.
FINDING

Criteria:
- Internal Controls should be in place over adjustments to customer utility accounts to prevent unauthorized write-offs to customer accounts.

Condition:
- Based on our testing and pursuant to discussions with City personnel, for a majority of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019, there were no internal controls in place over the adjustment of customer utility accounts.

Cause:
- The City did not limit access to making adjustments to customer utility accounts only to those who are authorized to make adjustments.

Effect:
- Unauthorized write-offs to customer accounts could occur.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City implement internal controls over adjustments to customer utility accounts to prevent unauthorized write-offs to customer accounts.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We Do Not Concur: Prior to the establishment in November 2017 of the Office of Billing and Collections, the Utility Billing staff established a procedure following the last audit to address this issue. Public Utilities restricted access to the part of the system. A procedure was established that required management sign off on all adjustments that could only be made by the Billing Supervisor.

The process continued with the establishment of the Office of Billing and Collections and was further restricted as a part of the combined office. Currently, Billing and Collections had implemented forms to prevent unauthorized write-offs to customer accounts. If the adjustment was approved, it would be signed by the manager and forwarded to the Billing Supervisor to make the adjustment. All adjustments, with signature forms, were bundled with edit documents for each billing cycle.
FINDING

Criteria:
- Documentation should be retained to support adjustments made to utility bills throughout the year.

Condition:
- During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019, the City recorded material adjustments to utility bills, however, the City did not retain documentation supporting the purpose or reason adjustments were necessary.

Cause:
- After utility readings are obtained, an edit report is generated to review bills prior to sending to the customers. Work orders are then created to address the potential errors. The work orders determine if an adjustment is necessary prior to printing the bill. However, the work orders were/are not being retained to support the conclusion to adjust the utility bills.

Effect:
- There was no supporting documentation to substantiate material adjustments made to utility bills throughout the year.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City retain appropriate documentation to support and substantiate adjustments made to utility bills.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We Do Not Concur: Prior to the establishment in November 2017 of the Office of Billing and Collections, the Utility Billing staff established a procedure to document adjustments. Billing and Collections has implemented forms to prevent unauthorized write-offs to customer accounts. If the adjustment was approved, it would be signed by the manager and forwarded to the Billing Supervisor to make the adjustment. All adjustments, with signature forms, were bundled with edit documents for each billing cycle.
FINDING

Criteria:
- All Journal Entries made should have corresponding debits and credits that balance within each entry.

Condition:
- During FY 19 the City’s finance department posted various one-sided journal entries. For example, a journal entry was posted that only included a credit of $1,549,067.49 and did not have a corresponding debit to balance the entry.

Cause:
- The City finance staff were posting one-sided journal entries and there was no sufficient review and approval process in place over Journal Entries.

Effect:
- The City’s Funds and financial statements were materially out of balance and required material adjustments to correct.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City ensure that one-sided journal entries are not posted to the accounting system.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We concur: The Finance Department has worked with the Financial Systems vendor to log a ticket with the City's Financial System. And Query GLMONTH has been created to review all general ledger holding files and make sure that all entries net to $0. This report is generated and reviewed monthly. Finance has also created Journal Entry templates to ensure that the entries that cross funds include entries for the Treasurer’s Accountability Fund.
FINDING

Criteria:

▪ All Journal Entries made should have corresponding debits and credits that balance within each fund for each entry.

Condition:

▪ During FY 19 the City’s finance department posted various journal entries that did not balance within each fund. For example, a journal entry was posted that debited one fund and credited a different fund.

Cause:

▪ The City finance staff were posting journal entries that did not balance within each fund and there was no sufficient review and approval process in place over Journal Entries.

Effect:

▪ The City’s Funds and financial statements were materially out of balance and required material adjustments to correct.

Recommendation:

▪ We recommend the City ensure that all journal entries balance within each fund.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

The Finance Department has worked with the Financial Systems vendor to log a ticket with the City’s Financial System. And Query GLMONTH has been created to review all general ledger holding files and make sure that all entries net to $0. This report is generated and reviewed monthly. Finance has also created Journal Entry templates to ensure that the entries that cross funds include entries for the Treasurer’s Accountability Fund.
2019-016 Material Weakness - Processing of Lockbox Receipts (RESOLVED)

FINDING

Criteria:
- All incoming receipts should be recorded and processed through the City’s cash receipt system.

Condition:
- During FY 19 the City was not able to appropriately record and process payments received through Lockbox.

Cause:
- The City recently started accepting payments via lockbox. There were issues with the setup process which caused the City to not be able to appropriately record and process payments received through lockbox.

Effect:
- The City’s Financial Statements and subsidiary ledgers did not accurately reflect all payments received throughout the year.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City ensure all payments received are processed through the accounting system to ensure the accuracy of the financial statements and subsidiary ledgers.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

The Office of Billing and Collections will ensure that the lockbox is processed on a timely and accurate basis and will report the information as part of our daily reconciliation process. Policies and procedures have been drafted documenting this process in accordance with the FY 17/18 CAFR Corrective Action Plan.
**FINDING**

**Program:** Adoption Assistance (CFDA 93.659 – Department of Health and Human Services)

**Federal Award Number:** 93.659  
**Year:** 2019

**Criteria:** Clients should only receive assistance until the qualify child reaches age 18 or 21 if the agency determines that the child has a mental or physical disability warranting the continuation of the assistance.

**Condition:** During FY 19, there were 2 adoption assistance cases in which assistance was still being provided even though the child reached the age limit.

**Context:** Out of 25 cases tested, 2 cases were receiving benefits when they were no longer eligible. One child received 3 months of assistance after reaching age 18. Another child received 12 months of benefits despite not being eligible the entire year.

**Cause:** The Department of Social services was not reviewing cases for eligibility and did not have a process in place to ensure payments ceased when the child became ineligible.

**Effect:** The Department provided assistance to families that were no longer eligible.

**Questioned Costs:** $10,626

**Recommendation:**

We recommend the Department of Social Services put procedures in place to ensure assistance is only provided to eligible individuals.

**MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:**

The Department of Social Services has instituted an internal tracking system that will provide an alert to the adoption subsidy worker six (6) months prior to the youth’s emancipation date. The alert will cause the worker to initiate a Family Services Notice of Action and Rights Appeals form to the family to establish criteria for continued funding of the individual, when warranted.
Management Findings & Responses
FY18/19 CAFR
FINDING

Criteria: The Utility Billing Subsidiary System should be reconciled to the general ledger on a monthly basis.

Condition: The City was not reconciling the Utility Billing Subsidiary System to the General Ledger on a monthly basis.

Cause: The City did not have anyone in the Utility Department who was reconciling the Utility Billing Subsidiary System to the general ledger on a monthly basis.

Effect: There could be unexplained, undocumented material differences between the utility billing Subsidiary System and the General Ledger.

Recommendation:

We recommend the City implement procedures to ensure the Utility Billing Subsidiary System reconciles to the General Ledger and any differences noted during the reconciliation are documented and corrected.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We Concur: This is a function that falls under the Treasurer’s Office. Although the Office of Billing and Collections was created in November of 2017, during the transition, reconciliation remained a function of the Treasurer’s Office. Although the Council passed an Ordinance “19-ORD-23” on May 7, 2019 to transfer this function to the Collector of Taxes, this effort was halted based on legal action taken by the Treasurer to prevent transfer of the functions. The decision was made based on the inability of the Treasurer’s Office to complete this task. During the interim, the City sought a memorandum of understanding with the Office of the Treasurer to assume responsibility of this function and executed a MOU on July 14, 2020. Billing and Collections has been provided BAI processes to ensure that the Utility Billing Subsidiary System reconciles to the General Ledger, reference BAI Document UT6008 and UT6009.
2020-002 Material Weakness - Insufficient Listing for Water and Sewer Customer Deposits

FINDING

Criteria:
- A detailed listing by customer should be retained to support water and sewer customer deposits recorded in the general ledger.

Condition:
- It was noted during the audit that there was $656,254 included in the water and sewer customer deposit listings that did not have any detail regarding which customers the deposits were for.

Cause:
- The City did not retain a detailed listing to support a material amount of the water and sewer customer deposits recorded in the general ledger.

Effect:
- The City had material water and sewer customer deposits recorded in the general ledger that was not supported by the appropriated detailed customer deposit listing.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City retain sufficient listings by customer to support all water and sewer customer deposits.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We Do Not Concur: This was previously presented as Finding “2016-06 Material Weakness: Insufficient Documentation for Water and Sewer Connection Charges”. For the CAFR for the year ending June 30, 2017, this finding was listed as not present. In 2017-006 Material Weakness – Insufficient Listing for Water and Sewer Customer Deposit.

After reviewing the finding staff has determined that our current system does track deposits and a report can be generated from a query at any time. Billing and Collections generates report UT203 Summary Charges Report prior to the bill run monthly. The reports show monthly customer deposits summarized and detailed.
2020-003 Material Weakness - Reconciliation of Property Tax Delinquent Lists to General Ledger

FINDING

Criteria:

▪ Property tax delinquent lists should be reconciled to the general ledger on a monthly basis.

Condition:

▪ During fiscal year 2020 the City was not reconciling the property tax delinquent lists to the taxes receivable accounts as reported in the general ledger on a monthly basis or at year-end.

Cause:

▪ The Treasurer’s Office was not reconciling the delinquent lists to the receivable accounts in Fund 999.

Effect:

▪ As a result, material adjustments to the City’s general ledger were necessary.

Recommendation:

▪ We recommend the City reconcile property tax delinquent lists to the general ledger on a monthly basis and any differences be investigated and corrected.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We Concur: This is a function that falls under the Treasurer’s Office. Although the Office of Billing and Collections was created in November of 2017 during the transition, reconciliation remained a function of the Treasurer’s Office. Based in large part due to the inability, given the limited staff in the Treasurer’s Office to complete and successfully manage the necessary financial and accounting function for the City. In January 2019, the Petersburg City Council requested a change to the City Charter to remove the financial functions from the Office of the Treasurer and move them to the Collector of Taxes to be performed by the Finance Department. Unfortunately, the State did not pass the requested amendment. A second attempt to address the issue was when the City Council passed Ordinance “19-ORD-23” on May 7, 2019, to transfer all financial functions to the Collector of Taxes. This effort, although deemed in line with the charter definition of the Collector of Taxes function, was halted based legal action taken by the Treasurer to prevent transfer of the functions.

During the interim, the City sought a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the Office of the Treasurer to assume responsibility of this function. As of July 14, 2020, a MOU was executed, and Billing and Collections will produce a monthly and year-end report of delinquent property taxes for the Treasury Department to reconcile to the Treasurer’s Accountability Fund. The listing will be produced when accounts are 30 days delinquent and when new bills are generated. This process will be included in our policies and procedures.

Although this finding was present in this CAFR, City staff provided the new administrative policies and procedures to our auditors in July of 2020, so we anticipate this finding being removed by the issuance of the FY 2021 CAFR.
FINDING

Criteria:
- Accurate Accounting and Financial Reporting procedures should be in place throughout the year.

Condition:
- During our audit we have observed the City’s contracted audit preparation consultant was required to record a large number of adjustments at year-end. Moreover, it was necessary for the consultant to perform numerous reconciliations to prepare the City’s accounting records for the audit and external reporting purposes. Many of the adjustments and reconciliations performed by the consultant should be conducted throughout the year as part of routine accounting and financial reporting procedures.

Cause:
- The City finance department did not have adequate staff to ensure routine accounting and financial reporting procedures were done.

Effect:
- The audit preparation consultants did not commence their duties for FY 20 until July 2020. Therefore, the City’s general ledger was not accurate or reliable for the entire fiscal year June 30, 2020.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City evaluate the Finance Department and develop procedures to aid in accounting and financial reporting for capital assets, long-term obligations, general property tax receivables, water and sewer receivables, other miscellaneous receivables, state and federal revenues and similar accounts that have required adjustments by the contracted consultant. We also recommend development of a monthly procedural checklist which would require a specified individual to perform reviews of budget-to-actual results for revenues and expenditures actual as well as monitoring the balance sheet accounts. By implementing procedures in the Finance Department by qualified Finance personnel the City would benefit from reliable financial data throughout the fiscal year in addition to reducing the number of adjustments required at year-end.

In July 2017, a new City Manager and Finance Director were hired. Prior to that time the Robert Bobb group was hired to conduct an organization assessment and as a result the new budget included an expanded Finance and Budget Team. Since that time, the City has worked to recruit and retain critical staff with accounting expertise. In November of 2017, the Billing and Collections function was made part of this team and in January 2018, all Financial functions were placed under a Chief Financial/Operational Officer. In January 2018, a transition occurred in the Treasurer’s Office with election of a new official. Since then staffing transition has resulted in a limited depth and expertise in the Office. As a result, the Petersburg City Council proposed a charter change to remove all financial functions from the Treasurer’s Office to the Collector of Taxes via the Finance Office. This effort failed; however, City Council passed an Ordinance “19-ORD-23” on May 7, 2019 to transfer this function to the Collector of Taxes. This effort was halted based on legal action taken by the Treasurer to prevent transfer of the functions.

Given the continued challenge the City has worked to increase the number of positions in the Finance Department and Budget Office in order to ensure it is staffed with the appropriate competencies and adheres to best practices. During Fiscal Year 2017-2018, the total staff was increased to 11 with greater emphasis on accounting staff.

Throughout the fiscal year the City Budget and Procurement Department developed and presented to Council monthly budget-to-actual reports. The City has contracted the Internal Auditor function with an accounting firm who will be responsible to ensure that all the check-list items development during the audit are conducted in a timely manner.

The Finance Department has drafted policies and procedures and a monthly checklist has been created to ensure that all primary functions of the Finance Department are being completed in a timely and accurate fashion to prevent this finding in the future. It is important to note that adjustments will continue to be performed after the end of the fiscal year during the City’s adjustment period and to accommodate the 60-day accrual period. Any reconciling items are tracked at the end of each fiscal period or month for resolution prior to the close of the fiscal year. The City will evaluate the timing and timeline upon which it engages its audit preparation (pre-audit) consultants.
FINDING

Criteria: Identification of a material adjustment to the financial statements that was not detected by the entity’s internal controls indicates that a material weakness may exist.

Condition: The financial statements required material adjustments by the Auditor to ensure such statements complied with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Material audit adjustments were proposed to a multitude of accounts and financial statement groups including receivables, capital assets, debt and revenue and expenditure accounts to be in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

Cause: The City failed to identify all year end accounting adjustments necessary for the financial statements to be prepared in accordance with current reporting standards.

Effect: There a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity’s internal controls over financial reporting.

Recommendation:
We recommend the City implement procedures to ensure the financial statements are materially correct in accordance with General Accepted Accounting Principles.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

The Finance Department has developed policies and procedure to ensure that the City of Petersburg’s Financial Statements are in accordance with GAAP, and to prevent this finding in the future.

Although this finding was present in this CAFR, City staff provided the new administrative policies and procedures to our auditors in July of 2020, so we anticipate this finding being removed by the issuance of the FY 2021 CAFR. The City will also evaluate the role and timing, or timeline of the work performed by its audit preparation (pre-audit) consultants in light of expectations set in the Treasurer MOU and intended scope of the contract.
FINDING

Criteria:
- All revenues and expenditures related to federal grants in which the City is listed as the Subrecipient should be recorded in the financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

Condition:
- The City is the subrecipient of a federal Workforce Investment Act grant that has been passed-through from the Virginia Community College System. The City then has passed-through these grant funds to the Crater Regional Workforce Investment Board & Learn to Earn, Inc. The City did not record $1,291,509 of grant revenue and pass-through expenditures in its financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

Cause:
- The City does not request and drawdown grant funds from the Virginia Community College System. Instead, the Crater Regional Workforce Investment Board & Learn to Earn, Inc. requests and is sent grant funds directly from the Virginia Community College System. As a result of this process, the City failed to recognize and record $1,291,509 of grant revenues and pass-through expenditures for FY 20.

Effect:
- Because of the adjustment, the financial statements and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards were materially misstated.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City implement procedures to ensure all federal grants in which the City is the subrecipient are identified and recorded in the financial statements and the schedule of expenditures of federal awards as required by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We do not Concur: The City is not a pass-through recipient of the grant. Although we are listed as a sub-grantee, the funds remain in the Virginia Community College budget for draw-down as needed by the Workforce Board. The City has, however, taken a proactive role in oversight of the Workforce program per the request of the State of Virginia. During the prior fiscal year, the City managed a forensic audit of the program and the funding and will take additional steps to oversee the funding associated with the program. We will work with the Virginia Community College System to determine the best way to address this issue moving forward. To address this issue Grants will be recorded accurately in the financial statements as well as in accordance with GAAP.

The City no longer appropriates this funding. As of July 31, 2020, this position was removed from the City’s general fund and we no longer oversee the forensic audit. Therefore, we anticipate this finding being removed from the FY2021 issuance of the CAFR.
2020-007 Material Weakness - Material Reclassifications Proposed to Federal Revenues

FINDING

Criteria:
- Federal Revenues should be appropriately recorded in the financial statements.

Condition:
- The Auditor proposed material adjustments to the City’s recording of revenues received from federal sources – direct and pass-through federal revenues. The City had misclassified a multitude of federal revenues with state and/or local revenues within the accounting system.

Cause:
- The City does not have adequate staff or resources to monitor the City’s posting of federal grant revenues or prevent the City’s Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, which is presented in the City’s CAFR, from being materially misstated.

Effect:
- The City’s Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards could be materially misstated.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City implement procedures to ensure the City’s federal revenues are appropriately accounted for and reported. This includes maintaining a list of all federal grants received, the CFDA number for each federal grant, the amount expended for each federal grant, the amount received for each federal grant, and a review process ensuring that all federal revenues are accurately recorded in the general ledger.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We concur with the recommendation:
The City’s Finance Department has drafted an overall City grant policy to ensure the City’s Federal revenues are appropriately accounted for, including maintaining a list of all Federal grants received to include the CFDA number for each Federal grant, the amount expended for each Federal grant, the amount received for each Federal grant, and a review process ensuring that all Federal revenues are accurately recorded in the general ledger to prevent findings like this in the future.

Also, the City will identify staff resources to monitor the City’s posting of Federal grant revenues in order to prevent the City’s Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards from being materially misstated in the City’s CAFR. This will be a joint effort across all City Departments.

Although this finding was present in this CAFR, City staff provided the new administrative policies and procedures to our auditors in July of 2020, so we anticipate this finding being removed by the issuance of the FY 2021 CAFR.
FINDING

Criteria:
- Bank reconciliations should be completed for all bank and investment accounts on a monthly basis. The reconciliations should be completed in a timely manner after month end.

Condition:
- During FY 20 the City did not complete bank reconciliations for all bank and investment accounts in a timely manner. For example, bank reconciliations for the month of June 20 were not completed until November 2020.

Cause:
- The Treasurer’s Office had staff turnover during FY 19 and did not prepare bank reconciliations on a monthly basis, which led to the significant delay in reconciling the monthly bank statements.

Effect:
- There is a reasonable possibility of a material misstatement of financial statements and misappropriations of assets.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City ensure that an inventory count is performed at least at the end of the year and that a listing of inventory is tracked and accounted for during the year.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

Although the primary responsibility falls with the Office of the Treasurer, the Finance Department has begun to partner with the Treasurer’s Office under the July 2020 executed MOU to review monthly bank outstanding reconciliation items to ensure that they are completed, accurately and timely so that Finance is able to close the month and is aware of outstanding reconciling items when doing so. The role our audit preparation (pre-audit) consultants play will be evaluated in accordance with the terms of the Treasurer MOU and scope of the contract.
FINDING

Criteria:
- Cash reported in the individual funds of the city should be reconciled to cash reported in the Treasurers Accountability Fund (Fund 999). The reconciliations should be completed in a timely manner after month end.

Condition:
- During FY 20 the City did not reconcile the cash reported in each fund to total cash reported in Fund 999.

Cause:
- The amount of cash reported in the City’s individual funds did not agree with total cash reported in Fund 999.

Effect:
- There is a reasonable possibility of a material misstatement of financial statements and misappropriations of assets.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City reconcile cash reported in the funds to cash reported in Fund 999. We also recommend the City insure that whenever entries are made to fund cash, fund 999 is also adjusted by the same amount, and vise versa.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

Although the primary responsibility falls with the Office of the Treasurer, the Finance Department has begun to partner with the Treasurer’s Office under the July 2020 executed MOU to review monthly bank outstanding reconciliation items to ensure that they are completed, accurately and timely so that Finance is able to close the month and is aware of outstanding reconciling items when doing so. The role our audit preparation (pre-audit) consultants play will be evaluated in accordance with the terms of the Treasurer MOU and scope of the contract. The timing or timeline upon which the audit preparation (pre-audit) consultants are engaged with also be evaluated.
FINDING

Criteria:
- All Payroll Entries made should have corresponding debits and credits that balance within each fund.

Condition:
- During FY 20 payroll entries were made that did not balance within each fund.

Cause:
- The system generated entry when payroll is processed is set up in a manner that does not balance within each fund.

Effect:
- The City’s Funds and financial statements were out of balance and required adjustments to correct.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City ensure that all payroll entries balance within each fund.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

The Finance Department has worked with the Financial Systems vendor to log a ticket with the City's Financial System. And Query GLMONTH has been created to review all general ledger holding files and make sure that all entries net to 0. This report is generated and reviewed monthly. Finance has also created Journal Entry templates to ensure that the entries that cross funds include entries for the Treasurer’s Accountability Fund. Finance will also work with Human Resource (HR), Information Technology (IT) as well as Budget staff to ensure that these departments understand the importance of reaching out to Finance prior to or in an effort to avoid bypassing and overriding an error message when received within the BAI system. The above condition resulted from HR Deduction Codes that were not completely configured (e.g., like Procurement’s Inventory Codes).
FINDING

Criteria:
- Adequate monthly financial closing procedures should be in place and performed prior to performing the month end close.

Condition:
- During FY 20 the City did not have adequate monthly financial closing procedures in place when performing the month end close. The City was closing each month in the accounting system before ensuring that all reconciliations had been performed, that all funds were in balance, and that all entries were appropriately made and included in the financial statements.

Cause:
- The City did not have adequate monthly financial closing procedures in place when performing the month end close.

Effect:
- The City’s monthly Financial Statements were not in balance and were not accurate.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City develop a checklist of monthly procedures and reconciliations that are to be performed prior to closing each month in the accounting system. By implementing procedures in the Finance Department by qualified Finance personnel the City would benefit from reliable financial data through-out the fiscal year in addition to reducing the number of adjustments required at year-end.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

Finance has drafted policies and procedures; a monthly checklist has been created to ensure that all primary functions of the Finance Department are being completed in a timely and accurate fashion. Finance has also been working with the Treasurer’s Office under the July 2020 executed MOU to ensure that all General Demand Bank account debits have been posted to the GL. All entries that cannot be posted are considered reconciling items. This will continue to be a joint effort between Finance, Billing and Collections as well as the Treasurer’s Office as they play a key role in making sure that information is posted correctly, and errors are corrected so that the month can be closed.

Although this finding was present in this CAFR, City staff provided the new administrative policies and procedures to our auditors in July of 2020, so we anticipate this finding being removed by the issuance of the FY 2021 CAFR.
2020-012 Compliance Finding - Prompt Payment of Bills by Localities

FINDING

Criteria:
- In accordance with Virginia Code Section 2.2-4352 *Prompt Payment of Bills by Localities*, local governments that acquire goods or services, or conducts any other type of contractual business with a nongovernmental, privately owned enterprise, shall promptly pay for the completed delivered goods or services by the required payment date. The required payment date is either the due date of the invoice or, if no due date is noted, not more than forty-five days after goods or services are received or not more than forty-five days after this invoice is rendered.

Condition:
- We tested 40 individual disbursements as part of our random test of disbursements and 16 out of 40 disbursements tested contained invoices that were paid late.

Cause:
- The invoices were not processed before the due date of the invoice.

Effect:
- Late payment to vendors can lead to payment of late fees, interest and the potential for litigation.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City pay its vendors in a timely manner.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

Finance has drafted policies and procedures, as well as various accounts payable logs to ensure that vendors are being paid in a timely fashion when invoices are received in accordance with the Prompt Pay Act. Although the Finance Department continues to receive past due bills from other departments, the invoices are processed and paid upon receipt of our office. The City has strived to ensure accurate payments are made, and therefore, the “check and balance “verification process is labor-intensive and takes a significant about of time. Once that verification is complete, the Finance department promptly processes and pays bills upon receipt. To increase City-wide accountability for the prompt payment of bills, Finance will communicate with the departments that represent the 16 untimely paid disbursements above and request that actionable steps be identified by these departments to ensure the prompt submission of invoices to Finance for timely payment.
FINDING

Criteria:
- Individuals/clients with sustained Special Welfare balance must be deposited in separate interest-bearing accounts.

Condition:
- The Special Welfare bank account is not an interest-bearing account.

Cause:
- When the Special Welfare bank account was established, it was not set up as an interest-bearing account.

Effect:
- Individuals/clients with sustained Special Welfare balances are not earning interest as required.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the City establish and interest-bearing account for Special Welfare.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We concur with the recommendation. We concur with the recommendation. The Department of Social Services and Finance will partner with the Treasurer’s Office under the July 2020 executed MOU to ensure that the account is an interest-bearing account. A new banking relationship is currently being established, and once determined, the account will be set to be designated as an interest-bearing account to comply with this finding.

The City established this interest-bearing account in October 2020. The City anticipates the finding being removed by the issuance of the FY 2021 CAFR.
2020-014 Compliance Finding - Special Welfare Ledger

FINDING

Criteria:
- The Special Welfare ledger account maintained by the Department of Social Services should be reconciled with the Special Welfare bank statement maintained by the City’s Treasurer Office.

Condition:
- The Special Welfare ledger account maintained by the Department of Social Services does not reconcile with the Special Welfare bank statement maintained by the City’s Treasurer Office.

Cause:
- The City did not have procedures in place to reconcile the Special Welfare ledger and the Special Welfare bank account.

Effect:
- Errors could occur without being detected in the Special Welfare Ledger or Special Welfare Bank Account.

Recommendation:
- We recommend the Special Welfare ledger and Special Welfare bank account are reconciled on a monthly basis and that any discrepancies are investigated, documented, and corrected.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

We Concur: We concur with the recommendation. Although the primary responsibility rests within the Office of the Treasurer, Social Services and Finance will partner with the Treasurer's Office under the July 2020 executed MOU. The City will develop and implement procedures to ensure the Special Welfare ledger and Special Welfare bank account are reconciled on a monthly basis, and all discrepancies are investigated, documented, and/or corrected.

The City established an interest-bearing special welfare ledger account in October 2020. The City anticipates the finding being removed by the issuance of the FY 2021 CAFR. The role our audit preparation (pre-audit) consultants play will be evaluated in accordance with the terms of the Treasurer MOU and the scope of the contract. The timing or timeline upon which the audit preparation (pre-audit) consultants are engaged with also be evaluated.
FINDING

Program: Workforce Investment Act Cluster (CFDA 17.258/17.259/17.278 – Department of Labor)

Federal Award Number: LWA 15-19-03 Year: 2019
Federal Award Number: LWA 15-18-03 Year: 2018

Criteria: Federal awards that are passed-through to a subrecipient are subject to Subrecipient Monitoring requirements under OMB Uniform Guidance. A pass-through entity is responsible for the following; Determining Subrecipient Eligibility, Award Identification, During-the-Award Monitoring, and ensuring that subrecipients expending $750,000 or more in Federal Awards during the fiscal year have met the audit requirements of 2 CFR part 200, subpart F of Uniform Guidance.

Condition: The City was not performing During-the-Award Monitoring of its subrecipients. Further, the City was not ensuring that its subrecipients were meeting the audit requirements of 2 CFR part 200, subpart F of Uniform Guidance.

Context: The City passed-through Workforce Investment Grant funds to the Crater Regional Workforce Investment Board & Learn to Earn, Inc. The City was not monitoring the subrecipient’s use of the Federal awards through reporting, site visits, regular contact or any other means to provide reasonable assurance that the subrecipient administers the federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of the grant agreements and that performance goals were being met. Further, the City was not ensuring that the Crater Regional Workforce Investment Board & Learn to Earn, Inc. was meeting the audit requirements of 2 CFR part 200, Subpart F of the Uniform Guidance.

Cause: City personnel were not aware of its subrecipient monitoring requirements.

Effect: The City has not complied with its subrecipient monitoring requirements. Noncompliance could lead to loss of grant funds.

Questioned Costs: N/A

Recommendation: We recommend the City implement procedures to ensure compliance with grant funds and the related grant awards.

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

The City has drafted an overall City grant policy to ensure the City’s Federal revenues are appropriately accounted for, including maintaining a list of all Federal grants received to include the CFDA to prevent findings like this in the future.

Although this finding was present in this CAFR, City staff provided the new administrative policies and procedures to our auditors in July of 2020, so we anticipate this finding being removed by the issuance of the FY 2021 CAFR.
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